Average Model of a High Frequency DC-DC Converter for Fuel Cell Application in Electrical Vehicle
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Abstract

In this paper a high frequency DC-DC converter fed by fuel cell is analyzed. The converter consists of full bridge inverter connected to full bridge rectifier through two planar transformers and parallel resonant. The mathematical model of the fuel cell is first presented and the average model of the converter is elaborated. The developed model is used to study the characteristics and dynamics of the DC-DC converter in closed loop. Validation of the proposed model is verified through simulation.
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I-INTRODUCTION

The reduction of gases emitted by the thermal vehicles is justifiable reason to motivate many researchers to investigate alternatives to conventional internal combustion engine. among these studies, the development of hybrid electric vehicles that use clean and renewable energy sources as fuel cells[1]-[2]-[3].

Fuel cell is electrochemical energy conversion device which directly produce electricity, water and heat by processing hydrogen and oxygen[4]. Generally DC voltage generated by a fuel cell stack varies widely and is low in magnitude; it is between 20V and 50V at full-load, a DC-DC converter is responsible for absorbing power from the fuel cell, and therefore should be designed to match fuel cell ripple current specifications and should not conduct any negative current.[5]

Several DC-DC converters, such as push-pull, half bridge and full-bridge converters can be used to boost the low voltage of the fuel cell to the required level. The mathematical models of these converters are very important for engineers to study the system dynamic behavior. However, the power converter models are normally time varying due to the switching action [6]

Many papers are published in this field. [7] Proposes an approach for fuel cell DC-DC converter controller using dynamic evolution control. Several approaches are applied to analyze the converters as The average models and small signals .Dynamic performance of PWM dc-dc converter has been analyzed using state space averaging method and small signals[8]. Averaged Model of a high power Dual-Phase Boost DC-DC Converter for Fuel Cell Power Supply[9].

In reference [10], the authors study the average circuit model of non-ideal basic converter operating in discontinuous conduction mode. Reference [11] studied the control method of boost and buck converter for the ultra-capacitor-fuel cell hybrid stationary power applications using small signal ac equivalent circuit model. Based on the above issues, this paper proposes an average model for fuel cell DC-DC converter which can regulate the output voltage of the converters to avoid rapid load voltage variations. The paper is organized as: The section II discusses a model of fuel cell .The section III details the topology and the operation mode .In section IX, the average model of DC-DC converter is presented . Section X evaluates the performance of small signal model and the controller design. And finally the conclusion is presented in section XI.

II-FUEL CELL MODEL

The fuel cell directly converts chemical energy into electrical energy. It reacted hydrogen and oxygen to produce electricity, water and heat, according to the following overall chemical reaction.

$$2\text{H}_2 + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{Electricity} + \text{Heat} \quad (1)$$

The following figure illustrates the principle of operation of a fuel cell.
The equation of voltage fuel cell is the following:

\[ V_{Cell} = E_{Nerst} - \Delta V_{act} - \Delta V_{Conc} - \Delta V_{ohmic} \]  

(2)

Where: \( E_{Nerst} \) is the Nerst potential, \( \Delta V_{act} \) is the activation loss, \( \Delta V_{ohm} \) is the ohmic loss and \( \Delta V_{Concentration} \) is the concentration loss. Expression of different voltages are:

\[ E_{Nerst} = 1.229 + \frac{R}{nF} \ln \left( \frac{P_{H_2}}{P_{H_2O}} \right) \]  

(3)

\[ \Delta V_{act} = \frac{RT}{nF} \ln \left( \frac{i}{i_0} \right) \]  

(4)

\[ \Delta V_{Conc} = -\frac{RT}{nF} \ln \left( 1 - \frac{i}{i_L} \right) \]  

(5)

Where \( P_{H_2} \), \( P_{O_2} \) and \( P_{H_2O} \) are the hydrogen, oxygen and vapor partial pressures (atm), respectively. Moreover, \( T \) is the cell temperature (K), \( R \) is the universal gas constant (8.31441 J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹), \( F \) is the Faraday constant (96484.56 C mol⁻¹) and \( n \) is the number of electrons participating in the reaction. \( i_L \) is the limiting current density, \( i_0 \) is the exchange current density and \( \alpha \) is the electron transfer coefficient of the reaction. \( V_{FC} \) is the fuel cell voltage, it can be written as follow:

\[ V_{FC} = NV_{Cell} \]

Where \( N \) is the number of fuel cell in a stack. The equivalent electrical circuit of a fuel cell is as follows:

**Figure 2: Fuel Cell model**

Parameters of the used fuel cell are shown in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter Name</th>
<th>Parameter Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature T</td>
<td>328 °K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial pressure of Hydrogen ( P_{H_2} )</td>
<td>1.5 atm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial Pressure of oxygen ( P_{O_2} )</td>
<td>1 atm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial pressure of water ( P_{H_2O} )</td>
<td>1 atm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exchange current ( i_0 )</td>
<td>0.002A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell area A</td>
<td>0.0825m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limiting current ( i_L )</td>
<td>100A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1: Fuel cell parameters**

**Figure 1: Illustration of a typical Fuel Cell structure**

III-TOPOLOGY AND OPERATION OF CONVERTER

a) Chosen Topology

Basically, DC-DC converters can be divided into two categories depending on using the galvanic insulation or not: non-isolated converter or isolated converter [12]. As the non isolated converters are simple, but they require a bulky input inductor to limit the current ripple in the components. But, in many cases, isolation between the input and the output is required, because of operating specifications or for security reasons. It is for this reason, the use of the isolated DC-DC converters. The chosen topology is divided in three parts: a high frequency DC-AC converter, a high-frequency transformer and an AC-DC converter as shown in Figure 5.

The converter consists of:

- Full bridge side fuel cell, it is constituted for bidirectional switches \((T_{11}, D_{11}), (T_{12}, D_{12}), (T_{13}, D_{13})\) and \((T_{14}, D_{14})\).
- Full bridge side high voltage, it is constituted for unidirectional switches \(D_1, D_2, D_3\) and \(D_4\).
- The resonant filter consists of capacitor \((C_r)\) and inductance \((L_0)\). Its role is to minimize switching losses.
- Two planar transformers in high frequency, plays a important role in this Topology. It provides both galvanic isolation and energy storage through winding leakage inductance. The primary is coupled in parallel and the secondary are in series.
b) Operation converter

The bridge side fuel cell is controlled to generate a high frequency wave voltage at its transformers. $T_s$ and $d$ denote respectively the switching period and the controlled duty ratio. Figure 6 shows the operation converter. $C_1$, $C_2$, $C_3$ and $C_4$ denote respectively, the control signals of the switches $K_1$, $K_2$, $K_3$ and $K_4$.

There are two modes:

**Mode 1:** In this mode, the diagonally opposite switches ($K_1$ and $K_4$ or $K_2$ and $K_3$) are turned on during $dT_s$ as shown in the following table. In this case fuel cell delivers the energy to load via resonant filter, two planar transformers and diodes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Switches</th>
<th>$[0, dT_s]$</th>
<th>$[T_s/2, T_s/2(2d+1)]$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$(K_1, K_4)$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(K_2, K_3)$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mode 2:** All switches are off and load current flow through diodes.

IX-AVERAGE MODEL OF DC-DC CONVERTER

For modeling the DC-DC converter, it is assumed:
- In the conducting state, each MOSFET is equivalent to a resistor $\eta$.
- In the conducting state, each diode is equivalent to a resistor $r_d$.

-The charge and discharge of the capacitor $C_r$ are instantaneous.
-The resistance of each non-conducting switch is infinite.
-The leakage inductance and the magnetizing of both transformers are neglected.

To model the converter we choose two state variables including capacitor voltage $V_0(t)$ and inductor current $i_L(t)$. The system state space representation is

$$x = Ax + Bu$$

$$y = Cx + Du$$

Where is $u$ is the vector of inputs, $y$ is the outputs and $x$ is the status variables vector.

$$x = [i_L(t), V_0(t)]^T, \quad u = V_{pac} \text{ and } y = v_o(t)$$

**a) Mode 1**

When the fuel cell has been started up, the system works in the stable operation mode as shows the table 1. In this case, the power flows from the fuel cell to the load through two diagonally transistors, resonant circuit, planar transformers and two diodes. The equivalent circuit is as follows.

If all impedance is transferred to the secondary winding, the equivalent circuit becomes the following.
The state space model and matrices are:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{dL(t)}{dt} \\
\frac{dv(t)}{dt}
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{-R_{eql}}{L_{eql}} & \frac{-1}{L_{eql}} \\
\frac{1}{C_{eql}} & \frac{1}{R_{ch}C_{eql}}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
v(t) \\
v(t)
\end{bmatrix}
+ \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{2n}{L_{eql}} \\
0
\end{bmatrix}V_{pac}
\]

In the interval \([0, dT_1]\), the state space model and matrices are:

\[
x' = A_1x + B_1u \quad \text{and} \quad y = C_1x
\]

\[
A_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{-R_{eql}}{L_{eql}} & \frac{-1}{L_{eql}} \\
\frac{1}{C_{eql}} & \frac{1}{R_{ch}C_{eql}}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
B_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{2n}{L_{eql}} \\
0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
C_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

b) Mode 2
The inductor current cannot equal to zero suddenly, so the two diodes are in conduction despite all switches are off. The equivalent circuit is shown by figure 9.

Using Kirchhoff law, we obtain:

\[
\frac{dI_L(t)}{dt} = \frac{-R_{eql}I_L(t) - v(t)}{L_{eql}}
\]

\[
\frac{dv(t)}{dt} = \frac{-v(t)}{C_{eql}}
\]

The last half cycle is identical to the first half cycle, so during switching period, mode 1 and mode 2 are repeated twice. Finally, the averaged model state equation can be obtained:

\[
x' = A_2x + B_2u
\]

\[y = C_2x
\]

\[
A_2 = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{-R_{eql}}{L_{eql}} & \frac{-1}{L_{eql}} \\
\frac{1}{C_{eql}} & \frac{1}{R_{ch}C_{eql}}
\end{bmatrix} ; \quad B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad C_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
A = A_12d + A_2(1 - 2d)
\]

\[
= \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{-2dR_{eql}}{L_{eql}} & \frac{-2d}{L} \\
\frac{2d}{C_{eql}} & \frac{-2d}{R_{ch}C_{eql}}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
B = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{-2dR_{eql}}{L_{eql}} & \frac{-2d}{L} \\
\frac{2d}{C_{eql}} & \frac{-2d}{R_{ch}C_{eql}}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
C = \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
1
\end{bmatrix}
\]
Average large signal circuit model is often derived as shown in figure 11.

\[ B = 2dB_1 + (1 - 2d)B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 4nd \\ L_{eq} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \]  
(23)

\[ C = 2dC_1 + (1 - 2d)C_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ T \end{pmatrix} \]  
(24)

The elaborated model is simulated in MatLab/Simulink with the following parameters:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fuel Cell Voltage</td>
<td>( V_{pac} = 24V )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switching Frequency</td>
<td>( f = 20kHz )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resonant inductor</td>
<td>( L_r = 2\mu H )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resonant capacitor</td>
<td>( C_r = 32\mu F )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter inductor</td>
<td>( L = 20mH )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter capacitor</td>
<td>( C_0 = 400\mu F )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load resistor</td>
<td>( R_{ch} = 50\Omega )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diode on resistor</td>
<td>( r_d = 0.006\Omega )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mosfet on resistor</td>
<td>( \eta = 0.005\Omega )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turn of transformer</td>
<td>( m = 7 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In time 0.2s, the duty cycle change from 0.2 to 0.3. Figure 12 shows the simulation result.

![Figure 12: waveform of output voltage in changed duty cycle](image)

In time 0.4s, the resistor of load varies from 50\( \Omega \) to 25\( \Omega \).

![Figure 13: waveform of output voltage in changed load](image)

V-SMALL SIGNALS ANALYSIS

The variables were analyzed to direct components (upper case letter) and a small ac perturbation (represented by (\( \sim \))

\[ x = X + \tilde{x}, \quad d = D + \tilde{d}, \quad V_0 = \tilde{V}_0 + \tilde{V}_{pac} \quad v_{pac} = \tilde{v}_{pac} \]  
(28)

\[ \tilde{x} = x' \quad \text{because} \quad X' = AX + BV_{pac} = 0 \]  
(29)

\[ X = -A^{-1}BV_{pac} \Rightarrow V_0 = -CA^{-1}BV_{pac} \]  

We can calculate the input to output transfer function

\[ \frac{V_0}{V_{pac}} = \frac{4nDR_{ch}}{2D(R_{ch} + R_{eq}) + (1 - 2D)(R_{ch} + r_d)(1 + \frac{n^2}{L_r})} \]  
(30)

The following figure shows the dc output to input gain versus duty cycle. This figure proven that gain is linear versus the duty cycle.

![Figure 14: gain of converter versus duty cycle](image)
when replacing each variable by its expression in (21) and using equation (29)

\[
\hat{z} = A\hat{x} + B\hat{v}_{pac} + 2\hat{d}(A_1 - A_2)X + (B_1 - B_2)\hat{v}_{pac} + 2(A_1 - A_2)\hat{x} + 2(B_1 - B_2)\hat{d}
\]

(31)

Usually \((2(A_1 - A_2)\hat{x} + 2(B_1 - B_2)\hat{d})\hat{v}_{pac}\) is negligible, so the equation (31) becomes

\[
\hat{z} = A\hat{x} + B\hat{v}_{pac} + 2\hat{d}(A_1 - A_2)X + (B_1 - B_2)\hat{v}_{pac}
\]

(32)

Using the Laplace transform:

\[
\hat{z}(s) = (sI - A)^{-1}((A_1 - A_2)X + (B_1 - B_2)\hat{v}_{pac}) + (sI - A)^{-1}B\hat{v}_{pac}
\]

(33)

The expression of the output

\[
\hat{v}_0 = \hat{v}_0 - \hat{v}_0 = C(x - X) = (2(D + \hat{d}) + C_1 + (1 - 2(D + \hat{d}) + C_2))\hat{z}
\]

(34)

If \(2(C_1 - C_2)\hat{d}\hat{x}\) is negligible, \(\hat{v}_0 = C\hat{x} + C(C_1 - C_2)\hat{d}\hat{x}

(35)

Apply the Laplace transform to the equation(35) and using equation(33), we obtained

\[
\hat{v}_0 = [C(sI - A)^{-1}((A_1 - A_2)X + (B_1 - B_2)\hat{v}_{pac})] + (C_1 - C_2)(x + \hat{d})(sI - A^{-1}B\hat{v}(s)_{pac})
\]

(36)

\(H_1(s)\) and \(H_2(s)\) denote respectively the transfer function from the output voltage to duty cycle and the transfer function from the output voltage to fuel cell voltage.

\[
\begin{align*}
H_1(s) &= \frac{2}{LC_0} \left(2n\hat{V}_{pac} - I_p(t_d + 2n\hat{r}_1)\right) \\
&= \frac{2}{s^2 + \frac{R_2}{L} + \frac{1}{LC_0}} \left(\frac{C}{R_2} + \frac{1}{LC_0}\right)
\end{align*}
\]

(37)

\[
\begin{align*}
H_2(s) &= \frac{4nD}{LC_0} \\
&= \frac{4nD}{s^2 + \frac{R_2}{L} + \frac{1}{LC_0}} \left(\frac{C}{R_2} + \frac{1}{LC_0}\right)
\end{align*}
\]

(38)

Where \(R_2 = t_d(1 + 2D) + 4D\hat{r}_1\)

A PID controller is used for regulating the output voltage of the converter DC-DC. The output voltage measured is compared with reference and compensates by changing in the duty cycle of switches as show the figure 14.

Figure 15 shows the output voltage reference and the output voltage measured. It observed that for output voltage \(V_{oref}\) from 150V to 200V instantaneously, the output voltage \(V_o\) present a overtake equal to 5V.

CONCLUSION

This paper has addressed the unidirectional DC-DC converter to be used in the fuel cell application in vehicle electrical, we have studied the average model of the converter. The developed model is verified in two cases (changed of duty cycle and load), and we are presented the small signal model and transfer functions from the output voltage to duty cycle and to fuel cell voltage. To improve the converter performance and stability, we chose the PID controller in the closed loop to adjust the output voltage in changing duty cycle.
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